

**Reclamation District 900
Unit 117, LMA Unit 1 LM 3.79
2026-002**

**Contract Documents for
Levee Slope Rehabilitation Project**

ADDENDUM NO. 2

WORK ORDER NUMBER: 2026-002

Prepared for:

Reclamation District 900

October 16, 2026

Approved:



Blake Johnson
General Manager

October 16, 2026

Date



Kyle Sanchez, RCE 03438

October 16, 2026

Date

RECLAMATION DISTRICT 900

1204 E Street (District Engineer Office)
Marysville, California 95901

ADDENDUM NO. 2

TO

Levee Slope Rehabilitation Project
Work Order Number 2026-002

THIS ADDENDUM shall be considered as part of the Contract Documents and shall be signed and attached to the volume of proposal documents, which must be submitted with the bid.

The following revision is to be made to the Contract Documents:

SUMMARIZED QUESTIONS FROM PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS

1. **Question:** Please confirm that the 1/4 ton rock slope protection for Item B5 is furnished under Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 72 (TS 8.3), and that the riprap classes and source testing in Section 35 31 19 do not apply. Please also confirm that the 1/4 to 1/2 ton toe rock on Sheet D-1 is paid under Item B5.

Response: Yes, the ¼ ton RSP is furnished under Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 72 (TS 8.3).

2. **Question:** Please confirm whether imported fill, aggregate base, ESA fencing and SWPPP implementation (TS 1, 6.2, 6.3, 8.1 and 8.2) are required on this project. If so, please identify the bid item that pays for them.

Response: Imported fill, aggregate base, ESA fencing and SWPPP implementation outside of Erosion Control Seeding are not required for this project.

3. **Question:** Please confirm whether the establishment period in Section 32 92 19, an 85 percent stand maintained through May 1 of the following year, applies to Item B8, Hydroseeding.

Response: Yes, hydroseeding shall be required for the disturbed levee slope and embankment. Please see Attachment A for the updated bid schedule.

4. **Question:** Please provide the species, size, quantity or spacing, anchorage and planting method for Item B7, Willow Bundles (Sheet D-1), including any soil backfill or embedment needed for the bundles to root within the rock, and whether survival is required.

Response: Yes, see Attachment A for the Central Valley Flood Protection Board Permit.

5. **Question:** Please confirm whether the 16,000 pound axle weight limit in TS 5.5.3 applies to rock delivery trucks and placement equipment on the levee access routes.

Response: No, this requirement does not apply to rock delivery trucks and placement equipment on the levee access routes.

6. **Question:** Please confirm that the District will obtain the authorizations needed for work after October 1 (General Conditions 2.5) and after October 31 (CVFPB authorization WA2026120), if the work extends into those periods.

Response: Yes, the District will obtain all authorizations for this project.

7. **Question:** Please provide the Engineer's Estimate for this project, or the budget range if no estimate has been prepared.

Response: The Estimate range is \$85,000 to \$115,000.

8. **Question:** Please provide a cross section with elevations showing the toe trench, the working platform and the top of bank on Sheet D-1, including the riverward limit of the toe excavation relative to the existing water's edge. Please also confirm whether the top of bank is the top of the eroded bank or the levee crown.

Response: Due to the variations in height and change in water level from surveying to the water level now, an accurate cross section cannot be created. .

9. **Question:** Please confirm that Alternative Bid Schedule C will be awarded with the contract and used only if the river level at the time of construction requires a cofferdam and dewatering, as directed by the Engineer. If Schedule C is not awarded, please confirm how that work would be paid, given General Specifications 4.8.

Response: Yes, Alternative Bid Schedule C will be awarded and only used if deemed by the environmental team to be necessary at the time of construction. If it is not required, the bid item will not be paid.

10. **Question:** Please identify the trees and shrubs to be removed and those to be left standing within Item B2, Clearing and Grubbing. Section 31 11 00 limits removal to trees designated for removal, and the plans designate none.

Response: At this time there are no trees set to be removed in the clearing and grubbing. If there are shrubs deemed necessary to be removed, they will be in the area where RSP is to be placed. The environmental team will inspect and confirm there are no issues prior to removal.

11. **Question:** Can the District provide the Ordinary high water mark elevation? This will determine layout and takeoff of the rock as well as if the worksite will be in water or not

Response: The Ordinary High Water Mark is at elevation 15.5'.

12. **Question:** The cofferdam and dewatering have been added to Schedule C as an optional bid item. What determines the need for installation of the cofferdam and dewatering? Is it at a specific river elevation?

Response: The river elevation will be inspected at the time of the pre-construction meeting with the environmental team. If the water level is deemed too high, the installation of the cofferdam and dewatering will be required.

13. **Question:** Sheet D-1 shows Phase 1 Initial Stabilization and Final Stabilization. Is there a required sequence or time between phases, or can all RSP be placed in one operation? Is the Phase 1 rock incorporated into the final section and paid under the RSP item?

Response: All RSP can be installed at the same time.

14. **Question:** Plan sheet D-1 shows RSP placed below existing grade for the toe key. Is excavation at or below the water level part of this work? If so, under which bid item should these costs be included?

Response: There is no excavation required for this project.

15. **Question:** Can you please provide photos or specs on the type of cofferdam the district has used in the past or what you would expect to see, if needed, on this project?

Response: The cofferdam is up to the contractor to install. The only exception is an earthen cofferdam. The District would prefer to avoid using this type to decrease impact to the river. Previous contractors have used Super Sacks with embankment and plastic on the landside of the cofferdam to reduce water infiltration.

I acknowledge receipt of Addendum Number 2 to the subject project and accept all conditions contained herein. The information and revisions presented in this Addendum has been considered in preparation of my proposal.

Bidder: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____